# Contents list available at IJND International Journal of Nano Dimension

Journal homepage: www.IJND.ir

# Structure and electronic properties of single–walled zigzag BN and B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> nanotubes using first-principles methods

#### ABSTRACT

#### N. Bahrami Panah<sup>1</sup> R.Vaziri<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Payame Noor University, P.O. BOX. 19395-3697, Tehran, IRAN.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, P.O. BOX. 15875-4416, Tehran, IRAN.

Received 04 December 2013 Received in revised form 09 March 2014 Accepted 11 June 2014

\* Corresponding author: Raheleh Vaziri Department of Chemistry, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, P.O. BOX. 15875-4416, Tehran, IRAN. Tel +982122857246 Fax +982188515737 *Email r\_vaziri@dena.kntu.ac.ir*  The structure and the electronic properties of single-walled zigzag BN and  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotubes (n, 0; n=4–10) were investigated using first-principles calculations based on a density functional theory. A plane–wave basis set with periodic boundary conditions in conjunction with Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudo-potential was employed. The energy gap of ZB<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NTs was calculated and compared with the corresponding value for BNNTs. It was found that in both types of nanotube (BNNTs and B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NTs), the band gap energy is increased as the diameter of the tubes becomes larger and also these nanotubes are semiconductors with direct band gap. Although the band gap energy of the BN tubes are much larger than that of B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> ones, they have similar dependence on the diameter of the tubes and a semiconducting characteristic is maintained. There is a peak near the conduction band in B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NT nanotubes. Thus, energy gaps are reduced. These kind of ternary BCN nanotubes are of n–type semiconductors.

**Keywords:** First- principles calculations; Boron Nitride nanotube;  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotube; Electronic properties; Density functional theory (DFT).

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Following the discovery of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) in 1991 [1], boron nitride nanotubes (BNNTs) have attracted considerable research interest [2, 3]. BNNTs are expected to have enormous potential applications due to their electronic properties, high thermal and chemical stability [2, 4, and 5]. BNNTs are the semiconductors that are characterized by wide band gap energy of about 5.5 eV and they are nearly independent of chirality and morphology of the tube [4]. The wide band gap energy of BNNTs is a substantial obstruct for their applications in electronic industry. Many efforts have been done to reduce the band gap energy by proposing the doping of BNNTs, especially the ones with carbons [6].The resultant effects are pronounced that band gap energy of the system is reduced to about 1 eV as a result of substitutional carbon doping [5, 7].

On the other hand, an interesting possibility arises from the inclusion of substitutional carbon atoms in the BN structure which leads to the formation of ternary BCN compounds ( $B_xN_yC_z$ ) with distinct stoichiometries [8]. These nanotubes are attracted increasing interest due to their unique electronic properties [9]. One of the advantages of the  $B_xN_yC_z$  nanotubes over their carbon counterparts is the relative simplicity in controlling the electronic properties of the tubes [10–14]. Theoretical calculations have predicted that the band structure of  $B_xN_yC_z$  nanotubes can be controlled by changing their atomic composition and configuration [13–16].

These nanotubes are expected to have the intermediate quality between carbon and boron nitride nanotubes [17-21]. Therefore, it opens the possibility to produce materials with tunable electronic properties with potential applications in nano-device engineering [8, 22, and 23]. Some of nanotubes have been synthesized these experimentally using electric arc discharge, laser ablation, pyrolysis and laser vaporization [12, 24-28]. In spite of so many previous studies in the electronic properties of B<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub>C<sub>z</sub> nanotubes with different atomic arrangement such as BC<sub>2</sub>N, BCN, etc [8, 13, 16, 22, 29-36], there has been no detailed report on the electronic properties of B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> nanotubes based on first-principles calculation. Additionally, the doping of BNNT is very important because these impurities with carbon are used to produce p and n- type semiconductors.

In this paper, a first-principles method based on density functional theory (DFT) was within the generalized gradient applied approximation to investigate the electronic structure and properties of single-walled zigzag  $B_3C_2N_3$ nanotubes ( $ZB_3C_2N_3NTs$ ). These structures were obtained from the B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>sheets which are the most stable nanotubes [37]. The energy gap of ZB<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NTs was calculated and compared with the corresponding values for BNNTs. There is a peak near the conduction band of these doped nanotubes. Therefore, energy gaps are decreased and the electrons are exited more easily from the donor level to the conduction one. In fact, these kind of ternary BCN nanotubes (B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>) are n-type semiconductors.

## **COMPUTATIONAL METHODS**

#### Computational details

The calculations have been performed by the quantum espresso code [38], in which the spin polarized density functional theory method is implemented using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) within the Perdew and Wang exchange correlation function. A plane-wave basis set with periodic boundary conditions in conjunction with Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudopotential was employed [39]. Kinetic energy cutoff determined by performing appropriate was convergence test 40 and 165 Ry for the wave function and the charge density, respectively. All the geometries were fully relaxed with residual forces smaller than 0.01eV/Å. One dimensional periodic boundary condition was applied along the tube axis. It means that a periodically repeating tetragonal unit cell with lattice constants of a, b and c was used. Both a and b were chosen to ensure negligible interaction between the tube and its periodic images (vacuum space of at least 10 Å), while c is relaxed during the optimization (c=8.46Å). The zigzag single–walled  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotubes (n, 0; n=4-10) was studied and these structures were obtained from most stable sheets of B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> according to ref. [37]. The unit cell of BN and  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotubes are shown in Figure 1(a-d).

The number of atoms in unit cell (B3C2N3NTs) was 32, 40, 48, 56, 64, 72 and 80. Monkhorst–pack mesh of k-points ( $1 \times 1 \times 6$ ) is used for sampling the one-dimensional Brillouin zone during geometry optimization. The number of k–points was determined from convergence tests.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Similar to CNTs, achieved by rolling graphene, BNNTs can be produced by rolling up the hexagonal BN sheet into a tube. In this work, different possibilities arise from the inclusion substitutional carbon atoms into the BN sheets and rolling the sheets leads to the formation of ternary zigzag  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotubes (n, 0; n = 4–10) with specified stoichiometries. The structural parameters and average bond length for each nanotube after relaxation are listed in Table 1.



Fig.1. Illustration of (a) BN, (b) B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> monolayer, (c) and (d) are the corresponding nanotube obtained by the similar procedure in ref. [31]. The atoms are represented by different colors as shown in the inset.

BNNTs	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> – <b>r</b> <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>B</sub>	D <sub>N</sub>	В-С	C–N	C–C
(4,0)	1.4157	1.4889	0.0732	3.2749	3.5778	-	-	-
(5,0)	1.4283	1.4686	0.0403	3.8579	4.0865	-	-	-
(6,0)	1.4327	1.4607	0.0280	4.8573	5.0536	-	-	-
(7,0)	1.4349	1.4551	0.0202	5.5156	5.6781	-	-	-
(8,0)	1.4351	1.4513	0.0162	6.4567	6.6019	-	-	-
(9,0)	1.4362	1.4494	0.0132	7.1511	7.2773	-	-	-
(10,0)	1.4371	1.4474	0.0103	8.0631	8.1783	-	-	-
	_		-	-	-	_		-
$B_3C_2N_3NTs$	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub>	$r_2 - r_1$	D <sub>B</sub>	D <sub>N</sub>	В-С	C–N	С–С
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{B}_{3}\mathbf{C}_{2}\mathbf{N}_{3}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{s} \\ \hline (4,0) \end{array}$	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 1.4387	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> 1.4911	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> - <b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 0.0524	<b>D</b> <sub>B</sub> 3.2333	<b>D</b> <sub>N</sub> 3.5979	<b>B–C</b> 1.5770	<b>C–N</b> 1.4528	<b>C–C</b> 1.3538
<b>B</b> <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> NTs (4,0) (5,0)	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 1.4387 1.4422	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> 1.4911 1.4708	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> - <b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 0.0524 0.0286	<b>D</b> <sub>B</sub> 3.2333 3.9500	<b>D</b> <sub>N</sub> 3.5979 4.1091	<b>B–C</b> 1.5770 1.5560	C–N 1.4528 1.4333	C–C 1.3538 1.3543
<b>B</b> <sub>3</sub> <b>C</b> <sub>2</sub> <b>N</b> <sub>3</sub> <b>NTs</b> (4,0) (5,0) (6,0)	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 1.4387 1.4422 1.4445	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> 1.4911 1.4708 1.4621	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> - <b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 0.0524 0.0286 0.0176	<b>D</b> <sub>B</sub> 3.2333 3.9500 4.7544	<b>D</b> <sub>N</sub> 3.5979 4.1091 5.0656	<b>B–C</b> 1.5770 1.5560 1.5423	C–N 1.4528 1.4333 1.4223	C–C 1.3538 1.3543 1.3551
B <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> NTs (4,0) (5,0) (6,0) (7,0)	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 1.4387 1.4422 1.4445 1.4453	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> 1.4911 1.4708 1.4621 1.4592	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> - <b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 0.0524 0.0286 0.0176 0.0139	<b>D</b> <sub>B</sub> 3.2333 3.9500 4.7544 5.3898	<b>D</b> <sub>N</sub> 3.5979 4.1091 5.0656 5.6774	<b>B–C</b> 1.5770 1.5560 1.5423 1.5336	C-N 1.4528 1.4333 1.4223 1.4168	C-C 1.3538 1.3543 1.3551 1.3559
<b>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NTs</b> (4,0) (5,0) (6,0) (7,0) (8,0)	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 1.4387 1.4422 1.4445 1.4453 1.4469	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> 1.4911 1.4708 1.4621 1.4592 1.4569	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> - <b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 0.0524 0.0286 0.0176 0.0139 0.0100	<b>D</b> <sub>B</sub> 3.2333 3.9500 4.7544 5.3898 6.2959	<b>D</b> <sub>N</sub> 3.5979 4.1091 5.0656 5.6774 6.5909	<b>B–C</b> 1.5770 1.5560 1.5423 1.5336 1.5266	C-N 1.4528 1.4333 1.4223 1.4168 1.4134	C-C 1.3538 1.3543 1.3551 1.3559 1.3581
<b>B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NTs</b> (4,0) (5,0) (6,0) (7,0) (8,0) (9,0)	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 1.4387 1.4422 1.4445 1.4453 1.4469 1.4488	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> 1.4911 1.4708 1.4621 1.4592 1.4569 1.4564	<b>r</b> <sub>2</sub> - <b>r</b> <sub>1</sub> 0.0524 0.0286 0.0176 0.0139 0.0100 0.0075	D <sub>B</sub> 3.2333 3.9500 4.7544 5.3898 6.2959 6.9573	<b>D</b> <sub>N</sub> 3.5979 4.1091 5.0656 5.6774 6.5909 7.2463	<b>B–C</b> 1.5770 1.5560 1.5423 1.5336 1.5266 1.5209	C-N 1.4528 1.4333 1.4223 1.4168 1.4134 1.4114	C-C 1.3538 1.3543 1.3551 1.3559 1.3581 1.3594

Table 1. B–N bonds length (r1 and r2) (Å) and average bond length (Å) of zigzag BN and B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> nanotubes.

It can be seen in Figure 1, there are two kinds of B–N bonds length  $(r_1 \text{ and } r_2)$  in zigzag  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotubes similar to BN ones, which are representative of the bond length along and around the tube axis (z–direction), respectively. As shown in Table 1, the value of  $r_2$  is larger than  $r_1$ for both types of nanotube (BNNTs and  $B_3C_2N_3NTs$ ), and the difference between them  $(r_2-r_1)$  becomes smaller as the diameter of nanotube increases.

The changes in  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are mainly affected by the bond strain on the curvature surface. The large curvature (in small diameter of nanotube) causes strong bond strain and therefore the value of  $r_2$  is larger than  $r_1$ . The boron and nitrogen atoms in both types of nanotubes form homocentric cylinders with different diameters of  $D_B$  and  $D_N$ , respectively. The diameter of nitrogen cylinder ( $D_N$ ) is larger than that of boron one ( $D_B$ ). As the curvature increases, the energy gap decreases due to the larger orbital overlap in more curved structures. Higher curvature leads to a lower energy gap because of a lower orbital overlap and higher strain. The appearance of some sp<sup>3</sup> hybridization due to the curvature of the BN ribbons causes a decrease in ionicity of the bonding and in the band gap. This hybridization induces a downward shift of  $\pi^*$ , leads to a decrease of band gaps during the rolling of BN ribbons.

In B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NT structure, the bond lengths of C–N and C–B decrease systematically while the bond length of C–C increases slightly with the diameter of tube. For the zigzag BN and B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> nanotubes (n, 0; n=4–10), the electronic band structures were studied along the  $\Gamma Z$  direction. The band structures of BNNTs {a; (4, 0), b; (7, 0), c; (10, 0)}, and B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NTs {d; (4, 0), e; (7, 0), f; (10, 0)} are shown in Figure 2 (a-f).

The Fermi energy  $(E_f)$  and the band gap energy  $(E_g)$  are listed in Table 2. In both types of nanotubes (BNNTs and  $B_3C_2N_3NTs$ ), the value of band gap energy becomes higher by increasing the tube diameter. Also, both of the nanotubes are semiconductors with direct band gap. The calculated  $E_g$  of zigzag BNNTs is in good agreement with previous prediction [40].

Figure 3 shows the values of band gap energy as a function of the tube diameter. Although the band gap energy of the pristine tubes is much larger (about 1.5–1.8 eV) than that of  $B_3C_2N_3$  ones, they have similar dependence on the tube diameter and the semiconducting characteristic nevertheless remains. It means that the effect of tube diameter on the electronic property of BNNT structures of this atomic arrangement is independent of carbon doping. The BN nanotube doped with a single carbon atom has a localized bound state in the band gap near the bottom of conduction band. But, when boron or a nitrogen atom is replaced by a carbon one, the localized bound state is near the top of the valence band [41]. As shown in Figure 2, the B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> nanotubes of equal number of B and N atoms doped with carbon atoms (Figure 1) have an extra valence electron and provide an occupied level in the band gap.



Fig. 2. The calculated band structures of BNNTs {a; (4,0), b; (7,0), c; (10,0)}, and of  $B_3C_2N_3NTs$  {d; (4,0), e; (7,0), f; (10,0)}. The electronic band structures along the  $\Gamma Z$  direction are shown. The Fermi level is at 0 eV.

BNNTs	(4,0)	(5,0)	(6,0)	(7,0)	(8,0)	(9,0)	(10,0)
E <sub>f</sub>	-3.68	-3.47	-3/36	-3.24	-3.07	-3.17	-3.02
Eg	2.4 (D)	3.0 (D)	3.3 (D)	3.9 (D)	4.2 (D)	4.5 (D)	4.8 (D)
B <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub> NTs							
E <sub>f</sub>	-3.57	-3.23	-2.78	-2.85	-2.61	-2.72	-2.58
Eg	0.9 (D)	1.3 (D)	1.8 (D)	2.1 (D)	2.4 (D)	2.7 (D)	3.0 (D)

 $\label{eq:andband} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Table 2. Calculated Fermi energy (eV) and band gap energy (eV) of BN and $B_3C_2N_3$ nanotubes. $$ (Direct band gap is denoted by `D`.)$ \\ \end{array}$ 



Fig. 3.The band gap energies of BN and  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotubes as a function of tube diameter.

The conductivity of BNNTs doped with carbon atoms (B and N substituted) was also

investigated from density of states (DOS) viewpoint and the results are in agreement with those obtained from energy band structure. The DOS of BNNTs {a; (4, 0), b; (7, 0), c; (10, 0)} and of  $B_3C_2N_3NTs$  {d; (4, 0), e; (7, 0), f; (10, 0)} are shown in Figure 4(a-f). As it can be seen, the DOS for spins with orientation up and down are completely equal. The DOS near Fermi level is very small in BNNTs. It means that a few of valence electrons occupy the highest level. The electrons in valence band can rarely transit to conduction band and the conductivity of pure BNNTs is very low [41]. So, there is a peak near conduction band for these doped nanotubes.



Fig. 4. DOS of BNNTs  $\{a; (4, 0), b; (7, 0), c; (10, 0)\}$  and of B3C2N3NTs  $\{d; (4, 0), e; (7, 0), f; (10, 0)\}$ . The Fermi level is at 0 eV and shown with dotted line.

Partial density of states (PDOS) were calculated for B and N atoms in BN and B, N and C atoms in  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotubes, respectively. The PDOS of BNNTs {a; (4, 0), b; (10, 0)} and of  $B_3C_2N_3NTs$  {(c) (4, 0), d; (10, 0)} were illustrated in Figure 5(a-d). As it can be seen, the occupied and unoccupied states are mainly contributed by 2p orbitals of N and B atoms near the Fermi level in BNNTs, respectively. While 2s and 2p orbitals of B and N atoms strongly mix together in lower and

higher energy regions far from the Fermi level. The significant contribution of C–2p was seen in both occupied and unoccupied levels of  $B_3C_2N_3NT$  because the numbers of B and N atoms are still equal after doping the carbon atoms. The 2p orbitals of C atoms near the boron atoms contributed to the top of the valence band, while the 2p orbitals of C atoms near the nitrogen atoms contributed to the bottom of conduction band (Figure 1).



Fig. 5. LDOS of BNNTs {a; (4, 0), b; (10, 0)} and of B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NTs {c; (4, 0), d; (10, 0)}. The Fermi level is at 0 eV and shown with dotted line.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The structural and electronic properties of zigzag BN and  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotubes (n, 0; n=4-10) were investigated by first-principles spin polarized total energy calculations. It was found that the value of band gap energy becomes higher in both types of nanotubes (BNNTs and B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NTs) by increasing the tube diameter. Also, both nanotubes are semiconductors with direct band gap. Although the band gap energy of the BN tubes are much larger than that of  $B_3C_2N_3$  ones, they have similar dependence on the tube diameter and semiconducting character nevertheless remains. It means that the effect of the tube diameter on its electronic property is independent of carbon doping in BNNTs. There is a peak near the conduction band in  $B_3C_2N_3NTs$  nanotubes. Therefore, energy gaps are decreased and the electrons are exited more easily from donor level to conduction one. In fact, these kind of ternary BCN nanotubes  $(B_3C_2N_3)$ are n-type semiconductors. The significant contribution of C-2p was seen in both occupied and unoccupied levels of B<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>NTs because the numbers of B and N atoms are still equal after doping the carbon atoms. The 2p orbital of C atoms near the boron and nitrogen atoms contributed to the top of the valence band and the bottom of conduction one, respectively.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This article is extracted from our research that has been carried out by financial support of Payame Noor University.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Iijima S., (1991), Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. *Nature*. 354: 56-58.
- [2] Rubio A., Corkill J. L., Cohen M. L., (1994), Theory of graphitic boron nitride nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. B*. 49: 5081-5084.
- [3] Chopra N. G., Luyken R. J., Cherrey K., Crespi V. H., Cohen M. L., Louie S. G., Zettl A., (1995), Boron nitride nanotubes. *Science*. 269: 966-967.

- [4] Blasé X., Rubio A. S., Louie G., Cohen M.
  L., (1994), Stability and band gap constancy of boron nitride nanotubes. *Europhys. Lett.* 28: 335-340.
- [5] ChenY., Zou J., Campbell S. J., Caer G. L., (2004), Boron nitride nanotubes: Pronounced resistance to oxidation. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 84: 2430-2433.
- [6] Golberg D., Bando Y. C., Tang C., Zhi C.
  Y., (2007), Boron nitride nanotubes. *Adv. Mater.* 19: 2413-2432.
- [7] Li J., Zhou G., Chen Y., Gu B. L., Duan W., (2009), Magnetism of C atoms on BN nanostructures: Implications for functional nanodevices. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131: 1796-1801.
- [8] Matos M., Azevedo S., Kaschny J. R., (2009), On the structural properties of B– C–N nanotubes. *Solid State Commun.* 149: 222-226.
- [9] Yang Y., Zhang Z. F., Kan K., Ge Y. L., Sun Y. L., Shi K. Y., (2012), Preparation and characterization of BCN nanotubes and their sensitivity to NO<sub>x</sub> at room temperature. *Adv. Mater. Research.* 616: 1778-1782.
- [10] Stephan O., Ajayan P. M., Colliex C., Redlich Ph., Lambert J. M., Bernier P., Lefin P., (1994), Doping graphitic and carbon nanotube structures with boron and nitrogen. *Science*. 266: 1683-1685.
- [11] Wengsieh A., Cherrey K., Chopra N. G., Blasé X., Miyamoto Y., Rubio A., Cohen M. L., Louie S. G., Zettl A., Gronsky A. R., (1995), Synthesis of B<sub>x</sub>C<sub>y</sub>N<sub>z</sub>nanotubules. *Phys. Rev. B.* 51: 11229-11232.
- [12] Redlich P., Loeffler J., Ajayan P. M., Bill J., Aldinger F., Ruhle M., (1996), B.C.N nanotubes and boron doping of carbon nanotubes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 260: 465-470.
- [13] Miyamoto Y., Rubio A., Cohen M. L., Louie S. G., (1994), Chiral tubules of hexagonal BC<sub>2</sub>N. *Phys. Rev. B*. 50: 4976-4979.

- [14] Blasé X., Charlier J. C., De Vita A., Car R., (1997), Theory of composite  $B_x C_y N_z$ nanotube heterojunctions. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 70: 197-200.
- [15] Liu A. Y., Wentzcovitch R. M., Cohen M. L., (1989), Atomic arrangement and electronic structure of BC<sub>2</sub>N. *Phys. Rev. B*. 39: 1760-1765.
- [16] Blasé X., Charlier J-Ch., De Vita A., Car, R., (1999), Structural and electronic properties of composite BxCyNz nanotubes and heterojunctions. *Appl. Phys. A.* 68: 293-300.
- [17] Nozaki H., Itoh, S., (1996), Structural stability of BC<sub>2</sub>N. J. Phys. Chem. Solids. 57: 41-49.
- [18] Enyashin A. N., Yu Makurin N., Ivanovskii A. L., (2004), Quantum chemical study of the electronic structure of new nanotubular systems: α-graphyne-like carbon, boron– nitrogen and boron–carbon–nitrogen nanotubes. *Carbon.* 42: 2081-2089.
- [19] Martins D. R., Chacham J H., (2011), Disorder and segregation in B-C-N graphene type layers and nanotubes: Tuning the band gap. ACS Nano. 5: 385-393.
- [20] Fan Y., Zhao M., He T., Wang Z., Zhang X., Xi Z., Zhang H., Hou K., Liu X., Yueyuan X., (2010), Electronic properties of BN/C nanotube heterostructures. *J. Appl. Phys.* 107: 094304-094310.
- [21] Xiao H. P., He C., Zhang C. X., Sun L. Z., Zhou P., Zhong J., Xiao H. P., (2013), Stability electronic structures and transport properties of armchair (10, 10) BN/C nanotubes. *J. SolidState Chem.* 200: 294-298.
- [22] Baei M. T, Ahmadi Peyghan A., Moghimi M., Hashemian S., (2013), Electric field effect on the zigzag (6,0) single–wall BC<sub>2</sub>N nanotube for use in nano-electronic circuits. *J. Mol. Model.* 19: 97-107.

- [23] Bhattacharya S., Majumder C., Das G. P., (2009), 3d Transition metal decorated B–C–N composite nanostructures for efficient hydrogen storage: A first-principles study. *Bull. Mater. Sci.* 32: 353-360.
- [24] Sen R., Satishkumar B. C., Govindaraj A., Harikumar K. R., Raina G., Zhang J. P., Cheetham A. K., Rao C.N., (1998), B–C– N, C–N and B–N nanotubes produced by the pyrolysis of precursor molecules over CO catalysts. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 287: 671-676.
- [25] Zhang Y., Gu H., Seunage K., Ijima S., (1997), Heterogeneous growth of B.C.N nanotubes by laser ablation. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 279: 264-269.
- [26] Enouz S., Stephan O., Cochon J. L., Colliex C., Loiseau, A., (2007), C–BN patterned single-walled nanotubes synthesized by laser vaporization. *Nano Lett.* 7: 1856-1862.
- [27] Iyyamperumal E., Wang S., Dai L., (2012), Vertically aligned BCN nanotubes with high capacitance. ACS Nano. 6: 5259-5265.
- [28] Yin Y., Chen Y., (2011), Synthesis and growth mechanism of BCN nanowires. *Mater. Lett.* 65: 2476-2478.
- [29] Blase X., (2000), Properties of composite  $B_x C_y N_z$  nanotubes and related heterojunctions. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 17: 107-114.
- [30] Azevedo S., de Pavia R., Kaschny J. R., (2006), Stability and electronic structure of  $B_xN_yC_z$  nanotubes. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter.* 18: 10871–10879.
- [31] Guo C. S., Fan W. J., Chen Z. H., Zhang R. Q., (2006), First-principles study of singlewalled armchair Cx(BN)y nanotubes. *Solid State Commun.* 137: 549-552.
- [32] Pan H., Feng Y. P., Lin J., (2006), Ab initio study of single-wall BC<sub>2</sub>N nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. B.* 74: 045409-045413.

- [33] Ahmadi Peyghan A., Noei M., (2013), Fluorination of BC<sub>3</sub> nanotubes: DFT studies. J. Mol. Model. 19: 3941-3946.
- [34] Freitas A., Azevedo S., Machado M., Kaschny J. R., (2012), First-principles calculations of BC<sub>4</sub>N nanostructures: stability and electronic structure. *Appl. Phys. A.* 108: 185-193.
- [35] Noei M., Ahmadi Peyghan A., (2013), A DFT study on the sensing behavior of a BC<sub>2</sub>N nanotube toward formaldehyde. J. Mol. Model. 19: 3843-3850.
- [36] Beheshtian J., Ahmadi Peyghan A., (2013), Theoretical study on the functionalization of BC<sub>2</sub>N nanotube with amino groups. *J. Mol. Model.* 19: 2211-2216.
- [37] Azevedo S., de Pavia R., (2006), Structural stability and electronic properties of carbon–boron nitride compounds. *Europhys. Lett.* 75: 126-132.
- [38] http://www.pwscf.org/.
- [39] Vanderbilt D., (1990), Soft self-consistent pseudopotentials in generalized eigenvalue formalism. *Phys. Rev. B*. 41: 7892-7895.
- [40] Jia J. F., Wu H. S., Jiao H., (2006), The structure and electronic property of BN nanotube. *Physica B*. 381: 90-95.
- [41] Zhao J. X., Dai B. Q., (2004), DFT studies of electro-conductivity of carbon–doped boron nitride nanotube. *Mat. Chem. Phys.* 88: 244-249.

Cite this article as: N. Bahrami Panah & R. Vaziri: Structure and electronic properties of single-walled zigzag BN and  $B_3C_2N_3$  nanotubes using first-principles methods. *Int. J. Nano Dimens.* 6(2): 157-165, Spring 2015.