## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on the surface and open ended of single wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs): A comparative study

### Ashraf Sadat Ghasemi<sup>1</sup>; Ehsan Binaeian<sup>2,\*</sup>; Habib-allah Tayebi<sup>3</sup>; Yasna Modanlou jouybari<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Payame Noor University (PNU), Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran <sup>2</sup>Chemical engineering department, Qaemshahr branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran <sup>3</sup>Textile engineering department, Qaemshahr branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran

Received 12 December 2015; revised 17 April 2016; accepted 30 May 2016; available online 28 June 2016

#### Abstract

Adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> on the surface of Single-wall zigzag (5, 0) and armchair (4, 4) carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) were studied through using density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Optimizations of geometric were performed at the B3PW91 level of  $6-311++G^{**}$  method standard basis set using GAUSSIAN 03 package of program. Structural models were optimized and adsorption energies, band gap, charge transfer and dipole momentum were obtained to investigate the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance (NQR) spectroscopy parameters for (CO<sub>2</sub>-CNTs) model of zigzag (5, 0) and armchair (4, 4) SWCNTs. Comparison of the results of the zigzag and armchair models with calculated chemical shielding, electric filed gradient tensors at the sites of carbon on the surface and open ended revealed that CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption has a dramatic effect on the electronic structure of SWCNTs and adsorption on the surface is about -1.5747eV SWCNT-S (5, 0) nanotube.

Key words: GAUSSIAN 03; NMR; NQR adsorption; SWCNTs, MWCNTs

#### How to cite this article

Ghasemi A S, Binaeian E, Tayebi H, Modanlou jouybari Y. CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on the surface and open ended of single wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs): A comparative study. Int. J. Nano Dimens., 2016; 7(3): 247-246., DOI: 10.7508/ijnd.2016.03.008.

#### INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) by Ijima, CNTs have attracted great interest owing to their extraordinary structural, mechanical, chemical, physical, and electronic properties [1, 2]. Carbon nanotubes are categorized in two types:Single cylinder known as SWCNTs and more concentric cylinders known as multi wall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) [3]. SWCNT properties are highly structure-size-dependent and are influenced by an atomic arrangement (chirality), nanotube diameter, length and morphology or its nanostructure. Depending on their diameter and chirality, carbon nanotubes can either be semiconductors [4]. One possible way to modify the electronic and vibration properties is a charge transfer during their intercalation [5]. CNTs were first theoretically investigated in 1994 and then experimentally were synthesized in 1995 as mainly semiconductor materials with wide band <sup>6</sup> Corresponding Author Email: *ehsan.binaeian@yahoo.com* 

gaps [6-9]. The changes in electrical resistance by adsorption of certain gas moleculessuch as CO, are considerable [10]. The absorption of various gas molecules like NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub> and Ar on both single SWCNT and SWCNT bundles using first principles method werestudied [11]. The Self-Consistent Field (SCF) electronic structure calculations were performed based on density functional theory (DFT) [12-15]. The calculation of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy parameters using DFT techniques have become a major and powerful tool for investigation of molecular structure [16]. The chemical-shielding  $(\sigma_{ij})$  tensors originating at the sites of half-spin nuclei, magnetic nuclei, reveal important trends about the electronic properties at the sites of these nuclei. The  $(\sigma_{ij})$  tensors are either measured experimentally or reliably reproduced by highlevel quantum chemical calculations [17, 18]. Nuclear experimental techniques such as Nuclear

Quadrupolar Resonance (NQR) are widely used to study the geometry and electronic structure of molecules [19]. For non-magnetic dielectrics, this response gives information about coordination and geometry around each nucleus with spin I >0. It is known that when nuclei with spin I > 1/2 is put in an Electric Field Gradient (EFG); decayed spin energy levels are created [20, 21]. NQR methods are applied to produce high external magnetic fields and some kind of internal interaction in order to form a non-decayed energy spectrum. However, the field has recently started to produce good products and an increasing amount of experimental and theoretical data is becoming available. In this research, we expect that our studies can provide suitable information in the application of SWCNTs a sensorfor detection of CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

This study was performed in Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran, as a research project over carbon nanotubes adsorption property in 2014.

## Computational details

In this approach, we used a Single-wall zigzag (5, 0) and an armchair (4, 4) carbon nanotubes.

The atoms at the open ends of the nanotube were saturated by hydrogen atoms to avoid the boundaryvibration. Frequencies were also calculated at the same level to confirm that all the stationary points correspond to true minimum on the potential energy surface. Geometry and density of states (DOS) and analyses of the optimized tube are shown in Figs. 1 and 2 which indicate that the tube is semi-conductive with HOMO (electropositive density), LUMO (electronegative density) and energy gap (E<sub>a</sub>) on the surface and an open ended armchair (4, 4) and a zig-zag (5, 0) a nanotube of 0.08269, 0.08269, 0.05588 and 0.03104eV, respectively. From DOS analyses of Table 1, it is found that CNTs captured CO<sub>2</sub> effectively at ambient conditions. However, reports in literature show that the pristine CNTs with a wide band gap are almost inert to many gas molecules [22, 23]. The diameters of nanotubes are 4.24 and 5.67Å, the lengths of the nanotubes are 10.02 and 7.61 Å, respectively, and the average bond length is 1.42 Å. The (5, 0) SWCNT containing 50 carbon atoms and 10 hydrogen atoms and the (4, 4) SWCNT containing 80 carbon atoms and 16 hydrogen atoms were selected for this purpose. DFT is used to study the structural and electric properties of the tube-molecule systems



Fig. 1: The A: (5, 0) SWCNT(S) and B: (5, 0) SWCNT(D) adsorption configurations and the electronic density of states of CO, molecule(sites on the surface and on the open ended, respectively)



Fig. 2: The A: (4, 4) SWCNT(S) and B:(4, 4) SWCNT(D) adsorption configurations and the electronic density of states of CO<sub>2</sub> molecule (sites on the surface and on the open ended, respectively).

during adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> molecule on the SWCNTs. The calculations are performed by hybrid functional B3PW91/ DFT based method and 6-311++G (d, p) standard basis set by GAUSSIAN 03 package of program. We used the NMR including chemical shielding isotropic ( $\sigma_{iso}$ ), chemical shielding anisotropic ( $\Delta\sigma$ ) and asymmetric ( $\eta_{Q}$ ) parameters [24, 25] and NQRincluding C<sub>Q</sub> [26] spectroscopy for the electronic structured properties of materials by quantum calculations.

NMR 13-C chemical shielding calculations were computed at B3LYP/6-311G\* level of theory using Gauge Including Atomic orbital's (GIAO) approach [27]. The NMR parameters of 13C nuclei for the investigated a model of the zigzag (5, 0) and an armchair (4, 4) single-walled CO<sub>2</sub>-CNTs are summarized in Table 4. Quantum chemical calculated tensors at the Principal Axes System (PAS) ( $\sigma$ 33> $\sigma$ 22> $\sigma$ 11) is converted to a diagonal matrix with ( $\sigma$ <sub>11</sub>), ( $\sigma$ <sub>12</sub>) and ( $\sigma$ <sub>33</sub>) components, measurable NMR parameters,  $\Delta\sigma$  and  $\eta_{\sigma}$  are used, respectively [28, 29]. They have been converted to  $\sigma$ <sub>iso</sub>,  $\Delta\sigma$  and  $\eta_{\sigma}$  using Eqs. 1-3, respectively.

$$\sigma_{iso}(ppm) = \frac{1}{3}(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22} + \sigma_{33})$$
(1)

$$\Delta \sigma(ppm) = \sigma_{33} - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22})$$
 (2)

$$\eta_{\sigma} = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{11}}{\Delta \sigma} \right)$$
(3)

The NQR measurable asymmetry parameter  $(\eta_q)$  is also reproduced by quantum chemical calculations of the electric field gradient (EFG) tensors [30-33]. Geometry optimizations and EFG calculations were performed using 6-311++G\*\* basis set with B3PW91 functional. In quadrupolar spin system, the EFG tensor at 13-carbon nuclear sites has an axial symmetry (asymmetry parameter  $\eta$ =0). The existence of the zero asymmetry parameter was one of the reasons why this compound is considered to present such interest [34]. The interaction between nuclear electric quadrupole moment and EFG at quadrupole nucleus is described with Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{e^2 Q q_{zz}}{4I(2I-1)} [3\hat{I}_Z^2 - \hat{I}] + \eta_Q (\hat{I}_X^2 - \hat{I}_Y^2)$$
(4)

Where eQ is the nuclear electric quadrupole moment, I is the nuclear spin and  $q_{zz}$  is the largest component of EFG tensor. The principal axis system (PAS) components of the EFG tensor,  $q_{\mu}$ , are computed in atomic unit  $(1au=9.717365 \times 10^{21} V m^{-2})$ , with  $|q_{xx}| \leq |q_{yy}| \leq |q_{zz}|$ and  $q_{xx} + q_{xx} + q_{xx} = 0$ ; these diagonal elements are related by a symmetry parameter  $\eta_{Q} = |(q_{xx} - q_{yy})/q_{zz}|$ and  $0 \leq \eta_{Q} \leq 1$  measures the deviation of EFG tensor from axial symmetry. Cluster model is proved to be valid for nanotubes [35] computed  $q_{zz}$  component of EFG tensor is used to obtain nuclear quadrupole coupling constant from the equation  $C_{Q} = e^2 Q q_{zz}/h$ [36].

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this work, geometries, binding energies, 13C NMR and NQR chemical shielding tensors of zigzag (5, 0) and armchair (4, 4) SWCNT interacted with molecule CO<sub>2</sub>, have studied. The calculated geometry parameters and binding energies, Chemical potential ( $\mu$ ), hardness ( $\eta$ ), softness (S),  $\Delta N_{max}$  (a.u.), and electrophilicity ( $\omega$ ), 13C chemical shielding and EFG parameters tensors have shown in Tables 1 - 4. In the following sections, molecular geometries and binding energies, NMR and NQR chemical shielding tensors, the data obtained from CO, molecule adsorption are discussed, separately. The net charge-transfer  $(Q_{\tau})$  from the CO, molecule to the zigzag (5,0) and armchair (4,4) SWCNT is calculated by using Mulliken population analysis, which is defined as the charge difference between the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule adsorbed on the doped nanotube and an isolated CO, molecule.

#### Molecular geometries and binding energies

In this study, the use of the electronic properties of single-walled carbon nanotubes has been established to appear field of spinelectronics, a field that influences the electron's spin degree of freedom for transfer and storage of information and communication. The optimized geometries of calculated configurations of  $CO_2$  molecule adsorbed on zigzag (5,0) and armchair (4,4) SWCNTs are schematically displayed in Figs. 1 and 2. Geometrical parameters, adsorption energies and dipole moment are summarized in Table 1. The nature of stationary points are confirmed by vibrational frequency calculations at the B3PW91/6-311++G\*\* level.

For CO<sub>2</sub> molecule, we have considered distinct adsorption sites, marked as SWCNTs, CO<sub>2</sub>-SWCNTs

adsorption energies, (Table 1) are calculated using:

$$E_{ads} = E_{tot} \text{ (molecule CO}_2 + SWCNT)-$$
  
-E<sub>tot</sub> (SWCNT)-E<sub>tot</sub> (molecule CO<sub>2</sub>) (5)

Where,  $E_{tot}$  (SWCNTs),  $E_{tot}$  (CO<sub>2</sub>) and  $E_{tot}$  (SWCNTs+CO<sub>2</sub>) are the energies of the optimized tubes, which are adsorption systems, respectively. The electrophilicity concept was stated for the first time in 1999 by Parr *et al.* [37,38].  $\mu$  is defined according to the following equation:

$$\mu = -\chi = -\frac{I+A}{2} \tag{6}$$

 $\chi$  is defined as the negative of  $\mu$ , as follows:  $\mu$ =-  $\chi$ . Furthermore,  $\eta$  can be approximated using the Koopmans' theorem [39] I (-E<sub>HOMO</sub>) is the ionization potential and A (-E<sub>LUMO</sub>) the electron affinity of the molecule. Where E<sub>HOMO</sub> is the energy of the Fermi level and E<sub>LUMO</sub> is the first given value of the conduction band.

$$\eta = (I - A)/2 \tag{7}$$

S and  $\omega$  are defined as the following equations, respectively.

$$S = 1/2\eta \tag{8}$$

$$\omega = \mu^2 / 2 \eta \tag{9}$$

The maximum amount of electronic charge,  $\Delta N_{max'}$ , that the electrophone system may accept is given by Eq. (10) as[40] :

$$\Delta N_{max} = -\frac{\mu}{\eta} \tag{10}$$

By this explanation, E<sub>ads</sub><0 corresponds to exothermic adsorption which leads to local minima stable for adsorption of gas molecules on the open ended and surface. In Fig. 1, nanotube(5, 0) has (C-C<sub>1</sub>) S = 1.610 A<sup>o</sup>, (C<sub>1</sub>-O) D =4.77 A<sup>o</sup>, and in Fig. 2, CO, SWCNT- D & S (4, 4) nanotube has two different CNT-CO<sub>2</sub> bonds (C-C<sub>1</sub>) D = 5. 05 A<sup> $\circ$ </sup> and  $(C-C_1)S = 4.60 A^{\circ}$ , that show increased adsorption of CO, molecule on the surface SWCNT-D (5, 0) nanotube and has different C-C bond length on the open ended (5,0) ( $C_1$ - $C_6$ ) = 1.358 A<sup>o</sup>, on the open ended (4, 4) ( $C_1$ - $C_2$ ) = 1.433 A<sup>o</sup>, and on the surface (5, 0)  $(C_1 - C_2) = 1.524 \text{ A}^{\circ}$  and  $(C_1 - C_6) = 1.493 \text{ A}^{\circ}$  and on the surface(4, 4)  $(C_1 - C_2) = 1.41 \text{ A}^{\circ}$ , and  $(C_1 - C_2)$ =1.43 Aº thus suggests two distinct adsorption sites, respectively. The increased bond length (C1-C<sub>2</sub>) and diameter SWCNT-(S) (5, 0)on the surface after adsorbing the molecular CO, on the (5,0) SWCNT systems, CO, seemed to place the outer surface (5, 0) tube in parallel. Density functional

calculations of SWCNTs, efficient process of charge transfer between the  $CO_2$  molecule and the nanotube, is found to substantially reduce the susceptibility of the  $\pi$ -electrons of the nanotube to modification through  $CO_2$  while maintaining stable doping. Diagrammatic view of this form is shown in Figs. 1 and 2 SWCNTs and  $CO_2$ -SWCNTs-(S) and (D). Geometry calculations of distortion caused by the carbon dioxide molecule on surface and open ended the (C-C) bond of zig-zag (5, 0) and armchair

#### (4, 4) SWCNTs are partly changed.

Two different types of adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> molecules were recognized (Figs. 1 and 2) CO<sub>2</sub>-SWCNT-S, CO<sub>2</sub>-SWCNT-D model (5, 0) and (4, 4). The calculated adsorption energies were predicted to be -1.868 and -1.869 eV on surface and open ended CO<sub>2</sub>-SWCNTs-S and D(4, 4) and -1.574 and -1.885 eV for CO<sub>2</sub>-SWCNTs-S and D (5, 0), respectively. The length of nanotube have selected with regard to the length of unit cell of nanotube. The geometry

Table 1: Calculated adsorption energies (Eads), Band gap (Eg), QT (DFT) and dipole moment (Debye) of the CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed surface and open-ended zigzag (5, 0) and armchair (4, 4) nanotubes. All energies are in units of eV.

| System                         | atoms | E <sub>ads</sub> (eV) | Dipole moment<br>(Debye) | E <sub>HOMO</sub> (eV) | E ှ<br><sub>LUMO</sub> (eV) | Band gap<br>(eV) | QT         |
|--------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------|
| CNT (5, 0)                     | С     | -                     | 1.1498                   | -6.7292                | -3.1568                     | 3.6251           | -          |
| CNT (4, 4)                     | С     | -                     | 0.0049                   | -0.23157               | -0.10058                    | 0.13099          | -          |
| CO <sub>2</sub> -CNT (4, 4)-D  | С     | -1.8691               | 0.0484                   | -0.18353               | -0.10084                    | 0.08269          | -0.581286  |
| CO <sub>2</sub> -CNT (5, 0)-D  | С     | -1.8859               | 1.3712                   | -0.14503               | -0.11399                    | 0.03104          | -0.353004  |
| CO2-CNT (4, 4)-S               | С     | -1.8685               | 0.0484                   | -0.18353               | -0.10084                    | 0.08269          | -0.0686652 |
| CO <sub>2</sub> -CNT (5, 0)- S | С     | -1.5747               | 9.5506                   | -0.18668               | -0.13080                    | 0.05588          | -1.235756  |

Table 2: Chemical potential (μ), hardness (η), softness (S), ΔNmax (a.u.), and electrophilicity (ω) of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption on CNT surfaces at the B3PW91/6-311++G\*\* level. The parameters are in units of eV.

| aus   | Siption on Civi | surfaces at t | IIC D51 W 71/0-311           | G level. The para           | ameters are in units of     | c v.                        |
|---|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Property  | CNT(4,4)        | CNT(5,0)      | CNT(4,4)- CO <sub>2</sub> -S | CNT(4,4)-CO <sub>2</sub> -D | CNT(5,0)-CO <sub>2</sub> -S | CNT(5,0)-CO <sub>2</sub> -D |
| [I=-E <sub>HOMO</sub> ] (eV)                        | 2.737           | 3.139         | 2.744                        | 2.744                       | 3.559                       | 3.946                       |
| $[A=-E_{LUMO}](eV)$                                 | 4.986           | 3.982         | 4.994                        | 5.015                       | 5.080                       | 3.102                       |
| $[\eta = (I - A)/2](eV)$                            | -1.125          | -0.422        | -1.125                       | -1.136                      | -0.761                      | 0.422                       |
| $[\mu = -(I + A)/2] (eV)$                           | -3.862          | -3.561        | -3.869                       | -3.880                      | -4.320                      | -3.524                      |
| $[S = 1/2 \eta]$ (eV)                               | -0.445          | -1.186        | -0.444                       | -0.440                      | -0.657                      | 1.185                       |
| $[\omega = \mu^2/2\eta](eV)$                        | -6.630          | -15.038       | -6.653                       | -6.627                      | -12.267                     | 14.714                      |
| $\Delta$ N <sub>max</sub> =- $\mu$ / $\eta$ ](a.u.) | -3.434          | -8.447        | -3.439                       | -3.417                      | -5.680                      | 8.351                       |

Table3: Calculated of chemical shielding and chemical shift tensors adsorption on the surface 13-C parameters for CNT (4, 4), CNT (5, 0), CNT (4, 4) – CO<sub>2</sub> and CNT (5, 0) – CO<sub>2</sub> system.

| Model                         | Atoms  | $\sigma$ 11                       | $\sigma$ 22                     | $\sigma$ 33                      | $\sigma_{_{iso}}$               | $\Delta \sigma$                     | $\eta_{\sigma}$                |
|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| CNT (5, 0)                    | $\begin{array}{c} C_1\\ C_2\\ C_3 \end{array}$   | -45.2511<br>-45.2511<br>-115.1751 | 154.2238<br>154.2238<br>60.2234 | 334.2732<br>334.2732<br>103.6177 | 147.7486<br>147.7486<br>16.2220 | 279.78685<br>279.78685<br>-197.0956 | -1.0694<br>-1.0694<br>131.0935 |
| CNT (4,4)                     | $\begin{array}{c} C_1\\ C_2\\ C_3 \end{array}$   | 0.9584<br>22.1907<br>1.6784       | 40.6177<br>26.0307<br>87.2017   | 131.4106<br>126.3909<br>87.2244  | 57.6662<br>58.2041<br>58.7045   | 110.6316<br>102.2802<br>42.7798     | 0.5377<br>0.0563<br>2.9984     |
| CNT (5, 0)-CO <sub>2</sub> -S | $\begin{array}{c} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{array}$        | 74.4312<br>74.6323                | 77.7044<br>77.7154              | 155.3112<br>155.0228             | 102.4823<br>102.4568            | 79.2434<br>79.2720                  | 0.0620<br>0.0637               |
| CNT (4,4)-CO <sub>2</sub> -S  | $\begin{array}{c} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \end{array}$ | 1.6000<br>1.8314<br>0.2349        | 19.0380<br>73.0690<br>3.1759    | 154.1514<br>101.9763<br>171.1831 | 58.2631<br>58.9582<br>58.1980   | 143.8324<br>64.5281<br>169.4776     | 0.1818<br>1.6560<br>0.0260     |
| CNT (5, 0)-CO <sub>2</sub> -D | $\begin{array}{c} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{array}$        | 42.8507<br>47.6078                | 97.3469<br>104.8761             | 149.1738<br>127.8227             | 96.4571<br>93.4355              | 79.0751<br>51.5808                  | 1.0338<br>1.6654               |
| CNT (4.4)-CO <sub>2</sub> - D | $\begin{array}{c} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{array}$        | -8.9800<br>-8.9700                | - 8.9800<br>-8.9700             | 103.1800<br>103.2000             | 28/4067<br>103/2000             | 98.6900<br>112.1700                 | $0.0000 \\ 0.0000$             |

#### A. S. Ghasemi et al.

| Sait                         | 13- C Atoms  | q <sub>xx</sub>   | $q_{yy}$   | q <sub>zz</sub>   | $\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle Q}$                  |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| CNT (5, 0)                   | C <sub>1</sub><br>C <sub>2</sub><br>C <sub>3</sub><br>C4<br>C5 | 0.050131<br>0.050880<br>0.140698<br>0.187883<br>0. 139176                           | 0.113721<br>0.114492<br>0.187547<br>0.228188<br>0.186403 | -0.163852<br>-0.165372<br>-0.328245<br>-0.416071<br>-0.325578 | 0.3880<br>0.3846<br>0.1427<br>0.0968<br>0.1450 |
| CNT (4,4)                    | $\begin{array}{c} C_1\\ C_2\\ C_3\\ C4\\ C5\end{array}$        | $\begin{array}{c} 0.104304\\ 0.104235\\ 0.104324\\ 0.088491\\ 0.088333 \end{array}$ | 0.129776<br>0.129456<br>0.129658<br>0.173612<br>0.173564 | -0.234079<br>-0.233691<br>-0.233983<br>-0.262104<br>-0.261897 | 0.1088<br>0.1079<br>0.1082<br>0.3247<br>0.3254 |
| CNT(5,0)-CO <sub>2</sub> -A  | $\begin{array}{c} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{array}$                      | 0.031568<br>0.023655  | 0.148028<br>0.179709                                     | -0.179596<br>-0.203363  | 0.6484 0.7673                                  |
| CNT(4,4)-CO <sub>2</sub> -A  | $\begin{array}{c} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{array}$                      | 0.000053<br>-0.000099   | 0.000203<br>-0.000435                                    | 0.000792<br>0.000831  | 0.1893<br>0.4043                               |
| CNT(5, 0)-CO <sub>2</sub> -D | $\begin{array}{c} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{array}$                      | 0.011426<br>0.168225  | 0.090608<br>0.227720                                     | -0.102034<br>-0.395945  | 0.7760<br>0.1502                               |
| CNT(4.4)-CO <sub>2</sub> - D | $\begin{array}{c} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{array}$                      | 0.000472<br>-0.000185   | -0.000590<br>-0.000730                                   | 0.000860<br>0.000933  | 1.2348<br>0.5841                               |

Table 4: NQR parameters of the (4, 4) armchairSWCNT and (5, 0) zigzagSWCNT [20]

of zig-zag (5, 0) on the open ended and armchair (4, 4) on the surface and open ended tubes are considerably modified when such oxidation occurs and physisorbed product is formed and the geometry of zig-zag (5, 0) on the surface tubes chemisorbed product is formed. The electron can not enter into CO, molecule binding orbital because the binding orbital is filled. This arrives to either sp<sup>3</sup> hybridization for two carbon atoms or breaking of one C-C bond. Two different types of adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> species were identified (Figs. 1 and 2, Tables 1 and 2). The dipole moments were calculated by Gaussian software and have shown in Table 1. The results of the dipole moment for single species and most stable configurations of considered complexes demonstrate that during CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption for all systems, total dipole moments increase. We considered that dipole moments for (5, 0) CO<sub>2</sub>-CNTs and (4, 4) CO<sub>2</sub>-CNTs on the surface and open ended are 9.55, 1.37 and 0.05 Debye, respectively. (See Table 1).

## CONCLUSIONS

Geometries,  $E_{ads'}$  HOMO-LUMO, NMR and EFG tensors and the data obtained from CO<sub>2</sub> molecule adsorptions are discussed. In this investigation, itwas concluded that the NMR and EFG tensors of 13-C nuclei in the SWCNTs are appropriate parameters to characterize the property of these interactions. Results indicated that the calculated NMR and NQR parameters change via carbon bonding interactions to the CO, molecule.

Considering the available theoretical errors in determining the chemical shift and EFG tensors, the calculated  $\sigma_{iso}$  and  $\eta_{Q}$  values of 13-C nucleus at C-C bondon CNT (5,0) -CO<sub>2</sub>-A model is about 102.48 and 0.6484 MHz, respectively. Decrease in global hardness, ionization potential and energy gaps during adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> molecule on SWCNTs is due to the increase of chemical reactivity and also leads to the reduction of stability in the systems.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Prof. FraydonAshrafi for his helpful support. This work was supported by Department of Chemistry Payam Noor University–Tehran, Iran, as a research project over carbon nanotubes adsorption property in 2014 and 2015.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Zhou O., Shimoda H., Gao B., Oh S., Fleming L., Yue G., (2002), Materials Science of Carbon Nanotubes: abrication, integration, and properties of macroscopic structures of carbon nanotubes. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 35: 1045-1053.
- [2] Ch E., Kim H., Kim C., Han S., (2006), Ab initio study on the carbon nanotube with various degrees of functionalization. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 419: 134-138.
- [3] Lee Y. S., Marzari N., (2006), Cycloaddition functionalizations to preserve or control the conductance of carbon nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97: 116801-116804.
- [4] Oftadeh M., Gholamian M., Abdallah H. H., (2012),

Investigation of optoelectronic properties of  $N_3$  dyesensitized TiO<sub>2</sub> nano-crystals by hybrid methods: ONIOM (QM/MM) calculations. *Int. Nano Lett.* 3: 5-9.

- [5] Zhao J. J., Chen Z. F., Zhou Z., Park H., Schleyer P. V. R., Lu J. P., (2005), A density functional study of the 13C NMR chemical shifts in functionalized single-walled carbon nanotubes. *Chem. Phys. Chem.* 6: 598-601.
- [6] Lu J., Wang D., Nagase S., Ni M., Zhang., Maeda W. X. Y., Wakahara T., Nakahodo T., Tsuchiya T., Akasaka T., Gao Z. X., Yu D. P., Ye H. Q., Zhou Y. S., Mei W. N. T., (2006), Evolution of the electronic properties of metallic singlewalled carbon nanotubes with the degree of CCl<sub>2</sub> covalent functionalization. J. Phys. Chem. B. 110: 5655-5658.
- [7] Lin Y., Taylor S., Li H. P., Fernando K. A. S., Qu L. W., Wang W., Gu L. R., Zhou B., Sun Y. P. J., (2004), Preparation characterization and evaluation of immuno carbon nanotubes. *Mater. Chem.* 14: 527-541.
- [8] Tasis D., Tagmatarchis N., Bianco A., Prato M., (2006), Chemistry of carbon nanotubes. *Chem.* 106: 1105-1136.
- [9] Stephan O., Ajayan P. M., Colliex C., Redlich P. H., Lambert J. M., Bernier P., Lefin P., (1994), Doping graphitic and carbon nanotube structures with boron and nitrogen. *Science*. 266: 1683-1685.
- [10] Lantz M. A., Gotsmann B., Durig U. T., Vettiger P., Nakayama Y., Shimizu T., Tokumoto H., (2003), Carbon nanotube tips for thermomechanical data storage. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 83: 1266-1274.
- [11] Rotkin S. V., Ruda H. E., Shik A., (2003), Universal description of channel conductivity for nanotube and nanowire transistors. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 83: 1623-.1625.
- [12] Kleinhammes A., MaoS. H., Yang X. J., Tang X. P., Shimoda H., Lu J. P., Zhou O., Wu Y., (2003), Gasadsorption in singlewalled carbon nanotubes studied by NMR. *Phys. Rev. B.* 685: 541-544.
- [13] Chopra S., McGuire K., Gothard N., Rao A. M., Pham A., (2003), Selective gas detection using a carbon nanotube sensor. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 83: 2280-2282.
- [14] Peng S., Cho K., (2000), Chemical control nanotube electronics. *Nanotechnology*. 11: 57-60.
- [15] Li J., Lu Y., Meyyappan M., (2006), Nano chemical sensors with polymer-coated carbon nanotubes. *IEEE Sens. J.* 6: 1047-1051.
- [16] Ghasemi A. S., Ashrafi F., Babanejad S. A., Rahimof M., (2010), A computational NMR study of chemisorption of nitrogen-doped on the surface of single-walled carbon nanotubes. *Arch. Appl. Sci. Res.* 2: 262-270.
- [17] Ghasemi A., Ashrafi F., (2012), Computational NMR study of chemisorbtion of oxygen doped on the Surface of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Res. J. Appl. Sci. Eng. Tech.* 4: 2529-2536.
- [18] Zhao J. B., Alper H., Jie P. L., Jian., (2002), The study of a carbon nanotube  $O_2$  sensor by field emission treatment. *Nanotechnol.* 13: 195-200.
- [19] Tang X. P., Kleinhammes A., Shimoda H., Fleming L., Bennoune K. Y., Sinha S., Bower C., Zhou O., Wu Y., (2000), Electronic structures of single-walled carbon nanotubes determined by NMR. *Science*. 288: 492-494.
- [20] Ashrafi F., Babanegad S. A., Ghasemi A. S., (2012), Comparison of adsorption of nitrogen and oxygenmolecules on the open endedand surface of SWCNTs: A computational NMR and NQR study. *Res. J. Appl. Sci. Eng. Tech.* 4: 795-801.
- [21] Ghasemi A. S., (2013), A DFT computation for comparison of NQR of O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and CO over the surface of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Res. J. Appl. Sci. Eng. Tech.* 101: 1892-1898.

- [22] Babanejad S. A., Ashrafi F., Ghasemi A., (2010), Optimization of adsorption of oxygen gas on Carbon nanotubes surface. *Arch. Appl. Sci. Res.* 2: 438-443.
- [23] Ghasemi A. S., Molla M., Ashrafi F., (2012), Study of nuclear quadrupole resonance on CO-Doped Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes: A DFT computation. *Res. J. Appl. Sci. Eng.Tech.* 15: 2543-2547
- [24] Frisch M. J., (2004), Gaussian03, Revision D. 01, Gaussian Inc. Walling ford CT.
- [25] Ghasemi A. S., Ashrafi F., Babanejad S. A., Rahimof M., (2010), A computational NMR study of chemisorption of Nitrogen-Doped on the surface of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. Arch. Appl. Sci. Res. 2: 262-270.
- [26] Parr R. G., Yang W., (1989), Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules. Oxford University Press.
- [27] Perdew J. P., Wang Y., (1992), Accurate and simple analytic representation of the electron-gas correlation energy. *Phys. Rev. B.* 45: 13244-13249.
- [28] Wolinski K., Hinton J. F., Pulay P., (1990), Efficient Implementation of the Gauge-Independent Atomic Orbital Method for NMR Chemical Shift Calculations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112: 8251-8260.
- [29] London F. J., (1937), Quantum theory of interatomic currents in aromatic compounds. *Phys. Radium.* 8: 397-409.
- [30] Ditchfield R., (1974), Self-consistent perturbation theory of diamagnetism I. A gauge-invariant LCAO method for N.M.R. chem. *shifts. Mol. Phys.* 27: 789-807.
- [31] Ribas Prado F., Giessner-Prettre C., Daudey J. P., Pullman A., Young F., Hinton J. F., Harpool D. J., (1981), Non empirical quantum mechanical calculations of the<sup>1</sup> H,<sup>13</sup> C,<sup>15</sup> N and<sup>17</sup> O magnetic shielding constants and of the spin-spin coupling constants in formamide, hydrated formamide and N-`methylformamide. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 59: 55-69.
- [32] Ghasemi A. S., Molla M., Ashrafi F., (2012), Study of Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance on CO-Doped Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes: A DFT Computation. *Res. J. Appl. Sci., Eng. Tech.* 4: 2543-2547.
- [33] Purcell E. M., Torrey H. C., Pound R. V., (1946), Resonance Absorption by Nuclear Magnetic Moments in a Solid. *Phys. Rev.* 69: 37-38.
- [34] Bloch F., Hansen W. W. , Packard M. E., (1946), Nuclear Induction. Phys. Rev. 69: 460-474.
- [35] Mirzaei M., Hadipour N. L., (2006), An Investigation of Hydrogen-Bonding Effects on the Nitrogen and Hydrogen Electric Field Gradient and Chemical Shielding Tensors in the 9-Methyladenine Real Crystalline Structure: A Density Functional Theory Study. J. Phys. Chem. A. 110: 4833-4841.
- [36] Soltani A., Baei M. T., Ghasemi A. S., Lemeski E. T., Amirabadi K. H., (2014), Adsorption of cyanogen chloride over Al- and Ga-doped BN nanotubes. Superlattices. *Microstruct*. 75: 564–575.
- [37] Marian C. M., Gastreich M., (2001), Structure-property relationships in boron nitrides: The 15-N and 11-B chemical shifts. *Solid State Nucl. Mag.* 19: 29-44.
- [38] Ashrafi F., Ghasemi A. S., Babanejad S. A., Rahimof M., (2010), Optimization of carbon nanotubes for nitrogen gas adsorption. *Res. J. Appl. Sci. Eng. Tech.* 2: 547-551.
- [39] Ghasemi A. S., Molla M., Mostashregh M., (2013), Adsorptions Gas CO<sub>2</sub> on the Surface and Open-Ended Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube: A NQR Study. Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res. 5: 1623-1629.
- [40] Ghasemi A. S., Soltani A., Molla M., (2015), A DFT study on the NMR and NQR chemical shifts of molecules confined in boron nitride nanotubes. *Am. J. Sci. Tech.* 2: 2375-3846.